

TEST OF CP VIOLATION IN NON-LEPTONIC HYPERON DECAYS

XIAO-GANG HE*

*Institute of Theoretical Science, University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403-5203, USA*

ABSTRACT

In this talk I discuss CP violation in hyperon decays in Left-Right symmetric models. I show that the asymmetry in polarization in $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$ can be as large as 6×10^{-4} in these models, which is an order of magnitude larger than the Standard Model prediction.

1. Introduction

Non-leptonic hyperon decay, $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$, is an interesting process to test CP conservation outside the neutral Kaon system.¹⁻⁴ The decay amplitude can be written as

$$M(\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-) = S + P\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{q}, \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{q}$ is the momentum direction vector of the pion. One particularly interesting CP violating observable is the asymmetry in polarization,

$$A(\Lambda) = \frac{\alpha + \bar{\alpha}}{\alpha - \bar{\alpha}}, \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha = 2\text{Re}(S^*P)/(|S|^2 + |P|^2)$, and $\bar{\alpha}$ is the corresponding quantity for $\bar{\Lambda}$ decays. A non-zero $A(\Lambda)$ signals CP violation. To a very good approximation,

$$A(\Lambda) = -\tan(\delta_{11} - \delta_1)\sin(\phi_1^p - \phi_1^s), \quad (3)$$

where $\delta_1 = 6^\circ$, $\delta_{11} = -1.1^\circ$ are the strong rescattering phases,⁵ ϕ_1^s and ϕ_1^p are the weak CP violating phases for the S-wave and P-wave amplitudes with $I = 1/2$, respectively. To calculate the weak phases $\phi^{s,p}$, I will take the approach to use experimentally determined decay amplitudes as CP conserving ones and calculate theoretically the CP violating ones.

A new experiment E871 at Fermilab will measure $\alpha_\Lambda\alpha_\Xi$ in the decay $\Xi^- \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^- \rightarrow p\pi^-\pi^-$, and also similar measurement for anti- Ξ decay.⁶ CP asymmetry in these decays will be measured. The CP asymmetry in polarization in this case is dominated by $A(\Lambda)$.⁷ The expected sensitivity for $A(\Lambda)$ is 10^{-4} and eventually will reach 10^{-5} . In the SM $A(\Lambda)$ is predicted to be in the range $-(0.5 \sim 0.1) \times 10^{-4}$.^{3,4} This prediction will not be tested at the initial stage of the E871 experiment. It is extremely interesting to see if $A(\Lambda)$ can be larger in extensions of the SM and can be tested by the E871 experiment. In this talk I will show that in Left-Right symmetric models $A(\Lambda)$ can be as large as 6×10^{-4} and will be tested soon.⁸

*Talk presented at the Beyond the Standard Model IV, Lake Tahoe, California, December 13 - 18, 1994

2. $A(\Lambda)$ in Left-Right Symmetric Models

Left-Right symmetric extensions of the SM is based on the gauge group $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$.⁹ In general there will be mixing between W_L of the $SU(2)_L$ charged gauge boson and W_R of the $SU(2)_R$. The effective Hamiltonian H_{eff} for non-leptonic hyperon decays by exchanging gauge bosons up to one loop level contains different contributions

$$H_{eff} = H_{SM} + H_R + H_{LR} . \quad (4)$$

In the zero mixing limit, H_{SM} reduces to the SM contribution. H_R is due to W_R exchange. H_{LR} is due to W_L and W_R mixing and therefore should be proportional to the mixing angle ξ . It is given by⁸

$$\begin{aligned} H_{LR} = & \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\xi} \{ V_{Lud}^* V_{Rus} (O_+^{LR} \eta_+ - O_-^{LR} \eta_-) + V_{Rud}^* V_{Lus} (O_+^{RL} \eta_+ - O_-^{RL} \eta_-) \\ & + \sum_i \tilde{G}(x_i) \frac{g_s}{16\pi^2} m_i \eta_g G^{\alpha\mu\nu} \bar{d} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \lambda^a [V_{Rid}^* V_{Lis} (1 - \gamma_5) + V_{Lid}^* V_{Ris} (1 + \gamma_5)] s \} , \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\eta_+ = (\alpha_s(1\text{GeV})/\alpha_s(m_c))^{-3/27} (\alpha_s(m_c)/\alpha_s(m_b))^{-3/25} (\alpha_s(m_b)/\alpha_s(m_{W_1}))^{-3/23}$, $\eta_- = \eta_+^{-8}$, $\eta_g = \eta_+^{14/3}$, $\bar{\xi} = \xi g_R/g_L$, $g_{L,R}$ are the $SU(2)_{L,R}$ gauge couplings, $V_{L,R}$ are the KM mixing matrices, and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}(x) &= -\frac{3x}{2(1-x)^3} \ln x - \frac{4+x+x^2}{4(1-x)^2} , \\ O_+^{LR} &= \bar{d} \gamma_\mu L u \bar{u} \gamma^\mu R s + \frac{2}{3} \bar{d} R s \bar{u} L u , \quad O_-^{LR} = \frac{2}{3} \bar{d} R s \bar{u} L u . \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Here $R(L) = 1 \pm \gamma_5$. $O_\pm^{RL}$ are obtained by exchanging R and L .

H_{SM} contribution to $A(\Lambda)$ is in the range of $-(0.5-0.1) \times 10^{-4}$, and H_R contribution is smaller.^{3,4} Possible large contribution can come from H_{LR} . Using factorization approximation, the $O_\pm^{ij}$ operator contribution $A_W(\Lambda)$ is estimated to be⁸

$$A_W(\Lambda) = 1.73 \{ \xi_-^u - 0.06 \xi_+^u \} , \quad (7)$$

where $\xi_\pm^i = \bar{\xi} \text{Im}(V_{Lid}^* V_{Ris} \pm V_{Rid}^* V_{Lis})$. Using the matrix element evaluated in Ref.[3], the gluon dipole contribution $A_G(\Lambda)$ (terms proportional to $\tilde{G}(x)$) is found to be⁸

$$A_G(\Lambda) = 0.21 \sum_i \tilde{G}(x_i) \frac{m_i}{\text{GeV}} \{ \xi_-^i + 1.17 \xi_+^i \} . \quad (8)$$

The S-wave and P-wave contributions are proportional to ξ_-^i and ξ_+^i , respectively.

3. Discussions

There are constraints on the allowed value for $A(\Lambda)$ because $\bar{\xi}$ and ξ_-^u are both constrained. From CP conserving hyperon decays, $\bar{\xi}$ is found to be less than 4×10^{-3} .¹⁰

Experimental limit of $|\epsilon'/\epsilon| < 3 \times 10^{-3}$ implies $|\xi_-^u| < 2 \times 10^{-6}$.^{8,11} The S-wave contribution to $A_W(\Lambda)$ is constrained to be less than 4×10^{-6} . If ξ_-^u is small by cancellation, the P-wave contribution is approximately given by

$$A_W(\Lambda) = -0.2\bar{\xi} \text{Im}(V_{Rud}^* V_{Lus}) . \quad (9)$$

$A_W(\Lambda)$ can be as large as 10^{-4} if $\text{Im}(V_{Rud}^* V_{Lus}) > 0.1$.

The magnitude for $A_G(\Lambda)$ is also constrained for the same reasons discussed above. The S-wave contribution to $A_G(\Lambda)$ is found to be less than 1.3×10^{-4} from experimental limit on ϵ'/ϵ . However the P-wave contribution is not directly constrained and may be larger. Assuming $\text{Im}(V_{Lid}^* V_{Ris}) = \text{Im}(V_{Rid}^* V_{Lis})$ and using $m_t = 176$ GeV, one finds that $A_G(\Lambda)$ can be as large as 6×10^{-4} . Such a large $A(\Lambda)$ will be probed by the E871 experiment.

I thank Professors D. Chang and S. Pakvasa for collaborations for the work reported here.

References

1. T. Brown, S.F. Tuan and S. Pakvasa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51** (1983) 1823; L.-L. Chau and H.Y. Cheng, Phys. Lett. **B131** (1983) 202; C. Kounnas, A.B. Lahanas, P. Pavlopoulos, Phys. Lett. **B127** (1983) 381.
2. L. Wolfenstein and D. Chang, Summary of Workshop on CP-Violation, in Proceedings of Symposium on Intense Medium Energy Source of Strangeness, AIP Conference Proceedings no.102, New York, (1983), T. Goldman, H.E. Haber, H.F.-W. Sadrozinski(editors), p.73.
3. J. Donoghue and S. Pakvasa. Phys. Rev. Lett. **55** (1985) 162; J. Donoghue, Xiao-Gang He and S. Pakvasa, Phys. Rev. **D34** (1986)833.
4. M.J. Iqbal and G. Miller, Phys. Rev. **D41**, (1990) 2817; Xiao-Gang He, H. Steger and G. Valencia, Phys. Lett. **B272** (1991) 411; N.G. Deshpande, Xiao-Gang He and S. Pakvasa, Phys. Lett. **B326**, (1994) 307; Xiao-Gang He and S. Pakvasa, CP violation in hyperon decays, to be published in the proceedings of the Eight Meeting of the American Physical society, DPF'94, Albuquerque, New Mexico, August 2-6, 1994.
5. L. Roper et al., Phys. Rev. **138** (1965) 190.
6. G. Gidal, P. Ho, K.B. Luk and E.C. Dukes, Fermilab experiment, E-871.
7. M. Lu, M. Savage and M. Wise, Phys. Lett. **B337** (1994) 133; A. Datta and S. Pakvasa, Preprint, UH-511-806-94.
8. Darwin Chang, Xiao-Gang He and S. Pakvasa, Preprint, OITS-563;UH-511-810-94, NHCU-HEP-94-30.
9. J.C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. Lett. **31** (1973) 661; G. Senjanovic and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. **D12** (1975) 1502.
10. J.F. Donoghue and B. Holstein, Phys. Lett. **B113**, (1982)382; I.I. Bigi and J.-M. Frere, Phys. Lett. **B110**, (1982)255; L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. **D29**, (1984)2130; D. P. Stoker et. al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, (1985)1887.
11. Xiao-Gang He, B. McKellar and S. Pakvasa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, (1988)1267; J.-M. Frere, J. Galand, A. Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene and J-C. Raynal, Phys. Rev. **D46**, (1992)337.